

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,395	09/24/2003		Yee-Chia Yeo	TSM03-0511	3960
43859	7590	02/16/2005		EXAMINER	
SLATER &		•	GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A		
	17950 PRESTON ROAD, SUITE 1000 DALLAS, TX 75252			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
=====,	,			2811	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Samual A Gebremariam Samual A		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Samuel A Gebremanam Samuel A Gebremanam Sait		10/669,395	YEO ET AL				
Prior MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederection for the marghe available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a raphy be timely filed and the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a raphy be timely filed and the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a raphy be timely filed and the provision of the provision of the provision of 3 CFR 1.764(b). Fallate to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statistory, period vallage and the correction of the provision of the communication. Fallate to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statistory, period with the statistory period vallage and will be considered timely. Fallate to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statistory period vallage and will be considered timely. Fallate to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statistory period vallage and will be considered timely. Fallate to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by the set of the provision	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Education of lime rany be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Education of lime rany be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Education of the reply specified above, the maintain substatory period will apply and will capire 30 (c) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintain substatory will be provided by the statutory minimum of thing (30) days will be considered from the maintain substatory period will apply and will capire 30 (c) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Plants the maintain substatory will expert on the provided to become AMCHOCHO (30 LS, C. \$1.30). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06 December 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24.5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) field on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application papers 10) The drawing(s) field on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The drawing(s) filled on is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Actio							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edecimate of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the safe or ordered specific provision and the safe of the communication of the safe of the sa							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 December 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-57 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discourse Statement(s) (PTO-1430 Paper Nots)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-57 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A Notice of Draftspersons Patent Dynivaing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftspersons Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	· <u> </u>						
Al) Claim(s) 24-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	- •						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 24-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S808) 5) ☐ Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-82) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-113) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5	4) Claim(s) 24-57 is/are pending in the application	1.					
6) Claim(s) 24-57 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informati Patent Application (PTO-152)	·_ ·· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u> </u>	election requirement					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Altachment(s)	o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 24-25, 33, 35-36, 39-40 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba et al. US patent No. 6,525,403.

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Inaba teaches (fig. 6) a method of forming a multiple-gate transistor, the method comprising: providing a bulk semiconductor substrate (11); forming a semiconductor fin (11a, substrate projection region) in the bulk semiconductor substrate; forming isolation regions (12) on sides of the semiconductor fin (11a); forming a gate dielectric (13) and a gate electrode (14) on a portion of the semiconductor fin, the gate electrode having a bottom surface (portion of the gate resting on 12); and forming a source region (15) and a drain region (16) in the semiconductor fin, the source region having a source-substrate junction (region where source region meets the substrate) and the drain region having a drain-substrate junction or drain-substrate junction being higher than the bottom surface of the gate electrode.

Inaba does not explicitly teach that the source-substrate junction and drainsubstrate junction is higher than the bottom surface of the gate electrode by at least 50 and a distance of between about 50 and 500 angstroms.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/669,395

Art Unit: 2811

Parameters such as height and distance in the art of semiconductor manufacturing process are subject to routine experimentation and optimization to achieve the desired device quality during device fabrication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the source-substrate junction and drain-substrate junction as claimed in order to improve the heat conductivity and minimize current degradation caused by heat due to Joule effect (col. 6, lines 9-15).

Regarding claim 33, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 24 above including the semiconductor fin comprises silicon (col. 4, lines 16-29).

Regarding claim 35, Inaba teaches the entire claimed process of claim 24 above including the gate dielectric (13) is silicon oxide (col. 4, lines 29-33).

Regarding claim 36, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 24 above including the gate dielectric comprises a high permittivity material. Inaba teaches the gate dielectric material to be silicon oxide. Since silicon oxide has a high permittivity compared to for example metal, Inaba inherently teaches a high permittivity material.

Regarding claim 39, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above except explicitly stating that the dielectric layer is between about 3 and about 100 angstroms.

Parameters such as height and thickness in the art of semiconductor manufacturing process are subject to routine experimentation and optimization to achieve the desired device quality during device fabrication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the thickness of the dielectric layer as claimed in order to improve the heat conductivity and minimize current degradation caused by heat due to Joule effect.

Regarding claim 40, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 24 above including the gate electrode is polycrystalline silicon.

Regarding claims 45 and 46, Inaba teaches (fig. 6) substantially the entire claimed process of claim 24 above including the multiple gate transistor is a double gate transistor and a triple gate transistor.

Regarding claim 47, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 24 above including the multiple gate transistor is an omega-gate transistor (fig. 6).

3. Claims 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba in view of Park et al. (A 40nm body-tied Finfet (OMEGA MOSFET) using bulk Si wafer, Physica E 19 (2003), pages 6-12).

Regarding claim 26, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above except explicitly stating forming a mask over the bulk semiconductor substrate; and etching exposed regions of the semiconductor substrate to form the semiconductor fin.

Park teaches (refer to device fabrication section and figs. 5a-5h) performing photolithography process on a bulk semiconductor substrate to form a fin structure (fig. 5(e)) using different masking layers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the photolithographic process taught by Park in the method of Inaba in order to scale down the device size.

Regarding claim 27, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above including removing the mask (fig. 5(e)).

Regarding claim 28, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above including the mask comprises a photoresist.

Since the combined process of Inaba and Park teaches photolithographic process, it inherently teaches a mask layer comprising photoresist.

Regarding claim 29, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above including silicon oxide as a mask layer (Park, page 8, 2nd col. 1st paragraph).

Regarding claim 30, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above including strapping the source and drain regions with a conductive material (Park, page 9).

Regarding claim 31, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above including forming spacers on sides of the gate electrode (park, page 9, 1st paragraph).

4. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba in view of Huang US patent No. 5,893,741.

Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above except explicitly stating performing selective epitaxy on the source and drain regions.

Huang teaches forming source and drain regions using selective epitaxial growth in the process of forming FET structure (col. 1, lines 29-40).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selective epitaxial process taught by Huang in the process of Inaba in order to over come problems associated growing silicon on doped surfaces.

5. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba in view of Clark et al. 6,635,909.

Regarding claim 34, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above except explicitly stating that the fin comprises silicon and germanium.

Clark teaches a fin FET structure where the fin is formed of silicon/germanium layer in the process of forming a strained fin FET device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to substitute the fin layer in the process of Inaba with silicon/germanium as taught by Clark in order to improve carrier mobility that is gained due to the strained silicon/germanium layer.

6. Claims 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba in view of Yu US patent No. 6,342,410.

Regarding claims 37-38, Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above except explicitly stating that the gate dielectric comprises a material selected from the group consisting of lanthanum oxide, aluminum oxide, hafnium oxide,

Application/Control Number: 10/669,395

Art Unit: 2811

hafnium oxynitride, and zirconium oxide, and combinations thereof or the gate dielectric comprises a material with a relative permittivity greater than about 5.

Yu teaches the use of high permittivity gate dielectric material such as aluminum oxide with a dielectric constant of 8 in the process of forming a field effect transistor (col. 4, lines 36-51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the high permittivity material taught by Yu in the process of Inaba in order to minimize charge carrier tunneling through the gate dielectric.

7. Claims 41 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba in view of Yu US patent No. 6,475,890.

Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above except explicitly stating that the gate electrode comprises poly-SiGe or metal.

Yu teaches forming gate material using poly-SiGe or various metals in the process of forming FET device (col. 6, lines 13-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the gate materials suggested by Yu in the process of Inaba in order to form fin transistor with improved gate conductivity.

8. Claims 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba in view of Hu et al. US patent No. 6,413,802.

Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above except explicitly stating that the gate electrode comprises a metallic nitride.

Hu teaches forming a gate material using titanium nitride in the process of forming a fin FET transistor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the gate material suggested by Hu in the process of Inaba in order to adjust the work function the gate.

9. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba in view of Muller et al. US patent No. 6,432,829.

Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 24 above except explicitly stating that the gate electrode comprises a metallic silicide.

Muller teaches coating the gates with a silicide layer in the process of forming fin device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the silicide layer taught by Muller in the process of Inaba in order to adjust the work function of the gates.

10. Claims 48-52 and 55-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba in view of Yu et al. US patent No. 6,764,884.

Regarding claims 48 and 56, Inaba teaches (fig. 6) a method of forming a semiconductor device, the method comprising: providing a silicon substrate (11); etching portions of the silicon substrate to form at least one semiconductor fin (substrate projection region, 11a); forming a gate dielectric layer (13) over the semiconductor fin; forming a gate electrode (14) layer over the gate dielectric layer; etching portions of the gate electrode layer to form a gate electrode, the gate electrode overlying sidewalls and

a top surface of the semiconductor fin (refer to figs. 6 and 9); and doping the sidewall of the semiconductor fin above the region of material (also refer col. 8, lines 58-67 and col. 9, lines 1-12).

Inaba does not teach forming a region of material adjacent portions of the semiconductor fin not underlying the gate electrode such that a sidewall of the semiconductor fin extends above an upper surface of the region of material.

Although Inaba does not explicitly teach that a material region is not formed adjacent to the fin structure to protect formation of source/drain region, Inaba indicates the formation of source/drain region above the bottom surface of the gate. Furthermore the use of dielectric mask to protect the fin region from source/drain implantation is conventional in the art and also taught by Yu in the fabrication of a finfet structure using conventional process (col. 4, lines 5-18). In addition it would have been obvious to remove the masking layers after source/drain implantation.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate patterning and masking as taught by Yu in the process of Inaba in order to protect the region below the source/drain region from ion implantation. Furthermore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the region of material as claimed after the doping of the sidewall is completed.

Regarding claim 49, Inaba teaches (fig. 6) the entire claimed process of claim 48 above including forming the isolation region (12) being formed after etching portions of the silicon substrate but before forming the gate dielectric (col. 4, lines 16-33).

Regarding claim 50, Inaba teaches the entire claimed process of claim 48 above including forming a masking material over the silicon substrate and wherein the step of etching portions of the silicon substrate is performed in alignment with the masking material (col. 8, lines 58-67 and col. 9, lines 1-12).

Regarding claim 51, Inaba teaches the entire claimed process of claim 48 above including removing the masking material after the semiconductor fin is formed (col. 9, lines 1-12).

Regarding claim 52, Inaba teaches the entire claimed process of claim 48 above including the gate dielectric layer and the gate electrode layer are formed over the masking material (col. 8, lines 58-67 and col. 9, lines 1-12).

Regarding claim 55, Inaba teaches the entire claimed process of claim 48 above including forming an isolation region (12) adjacent the semiconductor region.

Regarding claim 57, Inaba teaches the entire claimed process of claims 48 and 49 above including depositing an oxide material (col. 11, lines 37-40).

11. Claim 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba, Yu and in view of Clark.

Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 48 above except explicitly stating forming a region of material comprises depositing a dielectric layer.

It is conventional and also taught by Clark depositing dielectric layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to deposit the dielectric layer in the process of Inaba as taught by Clark in order to have better coverage.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,395

Art Unit: 2811

12. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba, Yu et al. in view of Yu US patent No. 6,342,410.

Inaba teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 48 above except explicitly stating that the gate dielectric comprises a material with a relative permittivity greater than about 5.

Yu teaches the use of high permittivity gate dielectric material such as aluminum oxide with a dielectric constant of 8 in the process of forming a field effect transistor (col. 4, lines 36-51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the permittivity material taught by Yu in the process of Inaba in order to minimize charge carrier tunneling through the gate dielectric.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 12/06/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Inaba reference does not teach how the source and drain could be formed above the bottom surface of the gate electrode. Figure 6 of Inaba clearly shows the limitation of the source-substrate junction or drain-substrate junction being higher than the bottom surface of the electrode. What Inaba does not explicitly teach is the limitation that the height between the bottom surface of the gate electrode and the source-substrate junction being 50 angstroms.

Therefore as stated above parameters such as height and distance in the art of semiconductor manufacturing process are subject to routine experimentation and optimization to achieve the desired device quality during device fabrication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the source-substrate junction and drain-substrate junction as claimed in order to improve the heat conductivity and minimize current degradation caused by heat due to Joule effect (col. 6, lines 9-15).

Applicant further argues the combined process of Inaba and Yu does not teach the claimed limitation of forming a region of material adjacent portions of the semiconductor fin above an upper surface of the region of material. Since Yu teaches the use of conventional process of forming source/drain region which usually involves patterning and masking process for protecting the channel region, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the conventional process taught by Yu in the process of Inaba in order to protect the region below the source/drain region from ion implantation. Furthermore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the region of material as claimed after the doping of the sidewall is completed.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference G is cited as being related to finfet device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Gebremariam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,395 Page 13

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAG February 9, 2005

> BUUIE LEE ARABU BATEKIT CYA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800